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Purpose of Model

- Assumptions – Assume this is the CLRP and all these transportation improvements have a V/C close to 1.0 meaning they are all needed in the CLRP. Cost is in the Billions.

- Q1. How can we rank each improvement based on system congestion reduction per cost as the ranking criteria?

- Q2. Using the “old 80-20 rule”, if 20% of the $ will achieve 80% of the congestion reduction, how do we find that 20%?
Ranking Procedure

1. Delete all improvements, calculate system-wide VHD below a threshold LOS.
2. Add 1 improvement, calculate system-wide VHD again, the difference is system-wide benefits for this improvement.
3. Delete this improvement.
4. Add another improvement to the Base. Repeat the calculations for VHD reductions.
5. Repeat this process 100 + times to get the First “Best” improvement per $ cost.
6. Add this First “Best” to the Base.
7. Now, repeat Steps 1 – 6 to get the Second “Best” improvement.
8. Next, repeat Steps 1 – 7 to rank All 100 + improvements.
• Delay reduction is measured if the improvement improves system-wide LOS from below a threshold LOS set by user.
• This threshold is input as a V/C ratio to the model.
• For example, if the threshold is set at LOS E, then any improvement on any highway that causes system-wide LOS improvements from LOS D to LOS A, B or C will have no benefits in this model.
• Benefits accrue only if LOS improves from LOS E to a better grade.

When is VHD Measured?
Transit Ranking Model Theory

- All transportation improvements (H & T) reduce system-wide congestion.

- Thus, transit improvements, can be compared with highway improvements for measuring congestion reduction per $ cost.

- To account for the synergistic impact of adding the “best” transit improvement to (H & T) Base, we reduce vehicle trips from the Base trip table (using MWCOC/TPB trips from 2020/2030 land use) for the next iteration of rankings.
How Can We Eliminate Model Bias?

- We can set the threshold for highways at a lower LOS grade than for transit.
  
  Example: TRANSIT LOS = E  
  HIGHWAY LOS = F

- This example says that all system-wide highways operating at or below LOS E would get a measurable benefit from a transit improvement while only those highways operating at or below LOS F would get a benefit from a highway improvement.
What About Jurisdiction Bias?

• The previous example would probably rank highways high for outer jurisdictions and transit high for inner jurisdictions.

• If there is still a jurisdictional bias, we could set thresholds by mode by jurisdiction.
Why Hasn’t This Been Done Before?

• It is cost prohibitive without new software.

• One would have to code thousands of computer networks and run thousands of computer traffic assignments to rank improvement projects in long range plan (e.g., \(70 + 69 + 68 + 67 + 66 + 65 + \text{etc.}\)) = 2450 computer runs.

• Coding and running 3 networks and 3 traffic assignments per week in sequential order is all one could do with traditional modeling techniques.

• This approach would take years to accomplish manually.
Why Do We Need A Computer Model?

- We need to model several thousand combinations of improvements to obtain the best sequence for construction to get the most VHD reductions, or VHD reductions per cost.

- Experience shows that about 80% of total gridlock reductions come from 20% of the improvements.
Highway Ranking Model

- Execution Time With Model
  (29,000 Links - 11,500 Nodes - 2191 Zones)

- 2 days of computer time to rank 70 improvement projects in Northern Virginia using a PC with Intel core CPU, 3.06 GHz processor.
Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) estimates 69 hours of delay per year, per peak period traveler in 2003¹.

VDOT model using MWCOG’s trip table estimates 108 hours of delay per year, per peak period traveler in NoVA.

Explanation of Differences²

- TTI measures delay on freeways and major arterials only.
- VDOT measures delays on all roadways, including minor arterials and collectors.

1. Washington Post, May 10, 2005
2. Based on Discussions with Tim Lomax of TTI
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Ranking Result Map

Highway Ranking Model
ID Numbers + Ranking Results

Legend:
1 - 52 = ID Number
Bold = Top 16 Improvements
Top 5: ID# 24, 2, 7, 20, 21
## Ranking Result Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>VHD Red</th>
<th>Cost ($M)</th>
<th>VHDRed/ Cost($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>US 50, I-66 to Jermantown Rd., U8D, 0.81 mi.</td>
<td>3122</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VA 27, Rt. 244 S. to Rt. 110, U6D, 1.60 mi.</td>
<td>3813</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>VA 120, Henderson Rd. to Rt. 50, U6D, 0.64 mi.</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>VA 7, Patrick Henry Dr. to Rt. 244, U6D, 1.26 mi.</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>VA 28, Bull Run to Rt. 29, U6D, 2.60 mi.</td>
<td>6042</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>VA 123, Old Courthouse to Rt. 7, U6D, 0.85 mi.</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>VA 123, Rt. 7 to I-495, U8D, 0.90 mi.</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>VA 7, Dulles Toll Rd. to I-495, U8D, 2.36 mi.</td>
<td>2723</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>VA 27, Rt. 50 to Rt. 244 S., U6D, 0.72 mi.</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>VA 236, Pickett Rd. to Chambliss St., U6D, 7.26 mi.</td>
<td>6033</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>VA 7, I-495 to Birch St., U6D, 1.91 mi.</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>US 50, Nutley St. to Graham Rd., U6D, 3.57 mi.</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>VA 244, Sleepy Hol. Rd. to Carlin Spr. Rd., U6D, 2.23 mi.</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>VA 28, Fauquier Dr. to Vint Hill Rd., R4D, 5.14 mi.</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>VA 7, Dranesville Rd. to Dulles Toll Rd., U6D, 8.77 mi.</td>
<td>2806</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>VA 7, Rt. 50 to Patrick Henry Dr., U8D, 0.80 mi.</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXAMPLE:** Project 24 reduces 347 vehicle hours of delay (daily) per construction cost ($ millions).
Final Output showed that after adding the top 16 improvements to the network, the bottom 36 did little to reduce VHD.
• Questions?

• Comments?
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